

# Seasonality in Nutrient Contents of Edible Green Algae *Ulva compressa* and *Ulva fasciata* from Southeast Coast of India

N. Monisha Hyderali<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Ganesan<sup>2</sup> and K. Eswaran<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2&3</sup>Marine Algal Research Station, Division of Biotechnology and Psychology,  
Central Salt and Marine Chemicals Research Institute, (Council of Scientific and Industrial Research),  
Mandapam Camp, Ramanathapuram District, Tamil Nadu, India

\*Corresponding Author

E-Mail: monisha.bio1@gmail.com

(Received 18 January 2019; Accepted 16 February 2019; Available online 23 February 2019)

**Abstract** - Seasonal variations in the proximate (protein, carbohydrate, dietary fiber, ash, moisture, lipid, amino acid and fatty acid content), mineral composition (Na, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn, C, Mn) and Heavy metals content (Cd, Pb, Hg and Ni) of *Ulva compressa* and *Ulva fasciata* were investigated for all four different seasons (Spring, North east monsoon, Summer and South west monsoon) during 2015 to 2016. Data were analyzed using one- and two-way ANOVA. Significant variations in the proximate constituents were found among seasons and species. Maximum proximate and mineral composition in *U. compressa* are protein, carbohydrate, ash content, moisture content and lipid in northeast monsoon, dietary fiber, amino acids content and mineral content in southwest monsoon, fatty acids content in spring. Heavy metals were not detected except lead. In *U. fasciata*, maximum proximate and mineral composition are protein, carbohydrate, moisture content, ash content in spring season, dietary fiber in summer season, lipid and total amino acids content in northeast monsoon, fatty acids contents and mineral content in southwest season. Heavy metals were not detected except lead. *U. compressa* has highest protein, carbohydrate, moisture content, amino acids and mineral content as compared to *U. fasciata*. *U. fasciata* has maximum dietary fiber, ash content, lipid content and fatty acids as compared to *U. compressa*. In general, Northeast monsoon showed the highest proximate and mineral composition in both the algae. The present study revealed that these two edible algae have higher proximate contents which can be more ideal for food consumption for humans and animals.

**Keywords:** *Ulva compressa*, *Ulva fasciata*, Seasonal Variations, Proximate Analysis, Fatty Acids, Amino Acids, Mineral, Nutritional

## I. INTRODUCTION

With increasing population and declining terrestrial food resources due to rapid urbanization, industrialization and water shortage for irrigation, there is an urgent need to develop and implement innovative food production strategies particularly from utilizing vast marine resources. Among the marine organisms, seaweeds are the best renewable resource as nutritional food. Seaweeds have long been part of the traditional diet for the people in China, Japan and Korea for over 2000 years. The nutritional benefits of seaweeds have been well documented in the literature. They are rich in protein (20 to 30% of their dry weight), extraordinary wealth of mineral elements and

higher content of iodine, calcium, magnesium, potassium, iron, vitamins C and A, protein, Vitamins B, fiber and, alpha linoleic acid and others (Norziah *et al.*, 2002). Among the various species of green algae *Ulva* are used for human consumption in Japan, East Asia, West and South-East Asia, North and South America. Other species of green algae are also found their application as human food and medicine in certain regions (Johnston, 1966; Neish, 1976; Saito, 1976 & Chapman, 1970). *Ulva* spp. are the best nutritional source as they contain high percentage of protein (16–22%) carbohydrate (43–60%) and ash content (12–18%) as dry matter, rich amount of minerals such as calcium, magnesium, iron etc. and all vitamins (Percival 1979; Msuya and Neori 2008). The nutritional benefits of foods made out of these seaweed supplements in many cases surpass those diets based on terrestrial plant foods, improving amino acid balance and quality and quantity of important biochemical components (Freile and Robledo 1997; McDermid and Stuercke 2003; Matanjun *et al.*, 2009). Considering the commercial importance of these algae, the seasonal variation of proximate compositions (protein, carbohydrate, lipid, dietary fiber, ash), amino acid, fatty acid content, minerals composition (Na, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn, C, Mn) and Heavy metals content (Cd, Pb, Hg and Ni) of green algae *Ulva compressa* and *Ulva fasciata* were analyzed and the results obtained on these aspects are presented in this paper.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### A. Sample Collection

Green alga *Ulva compressa* was collected from marine coastal region of Thonithurai, Mandapam (09°16.974'N 079°11.212'E) and *Ulva fasciata* from Kanyakumari coastal waters (08°04.673'N 77°32.038'E) southeast coast of India. To determine seasonal variations, samples were collected in December 2015 (North East Monsoon season) February 2016 (Spring season), April 2016 (Summer season) and August 2016 (South West monsoon season). The algal samples were collected in polythene bags and brought to the laboratory for biochemical characterization. In the laboratory, the samples were washed repeatedly with seawater, under running tap water and then distilled water to

remove all unwanted impurities, adhering sand particles and epiphytes. The water was drained off and seaweeds were spread on blotting paper to remove excess water and then shade dried at room temperature. Dried seaweeds were ground to make powder and analyses of biochemical compositions were carried out.

**B. Proximate Composition:** Standard protocols were followed for the estimation of proximate composition.

- 1. Protein Content:** The protein content was analyzed by the revised method of Lowry *et al.*, (Hartree, 1972) with bovine serum albumin as standard.
- 2. Carbohydrate Content:** The total carbohydrate was estimated by following the Phenol-sulphuric acid method of Dubois *et al.*, (1956) with glucose as a standard.
- 3. Lipid content:** The extraction of lipid was done by the chloroform-methanol mixture (Folch *et al.*, 1957).
- 4. Dietary Fiber:** The fiber content of the dried seaweeds was determined using a standard method outlined by AOAC (1985) by Gravimetry method. Here, the acid hydrolysis was carried out with sulfuric acid (0.3 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and the base hydrolysis was undertaken using sodium hydroxide (0.5 N NaOH). The cold extraction was performed with acetone. The samples were then dried (1 h at 110 °C) until it reached a constant weight, cooled in a desiccators and weighed (W1); thereafter, it was placed in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 3 h, cooled (in a desiccators) and reweighed (W2). The crude fiber percentage was calculated following the equation: % crude fiber =  $(W1 - W2 / W0) \times 100$  (wherein, W0 was the initial weight of the dried seaweed).
- 5. Ash Content:** The ash content of the sample was determined using standard method (AOAC, 1995). The ash content was analyzed by shade drying the samples at room temperature and later in an oven at 80 °C for 1 h, thereafter, one gram of the powdered sample was accurately taken in a crucible, ashed at 550 °C in muffle furnace for 6 h to a constant weight, and the ash obtained was then quantified gravimetrically.
- 6. Moisture Content:** For the determination of moisture content, the samples were dried at 100 ± 2 °C for obtaining a constant weight (AOAC, 2006).
- 7. Lipid Content:** Total lipids of the seaweed samples were analyzed by following the gravimetric method of Folch *et al.*, (1956). One gram of tissue was homogenized in 20 ml of chloroform - methanol mixture at 2:1 ratio. It was then left undisturbed for 2 hours in the dark and then filtered through a Whatmann No.1 filter paper and kept overnight in the dark in a pre-weighed beaker. After total evaporation, the beaker was weighed again and from the difference, the weight of the lipid in the sample was calculated.
- 8. Analysis of Amino Acid:** Estimation of amino acid composition in both seaweeds has been carried out as per the procedure outlined in standard manual USP30–NF25 Pharmacopeial Forum (2013). The amino acid composition of dried samples were determined by hydrolyzing the samples in 6N HCl for 24 hours at 110°C. The acid was removed by vacuum evaporation made up to a known volume with 0.05N HCl and then analysed using HPLC.
- 9. Estimation of Fatty Acid by Gas Chromatography:** Analysis of fatty acid composition in both seaweeds has been carried out as per the procedure outlined in standard manual USP 30 NF 25 Pharmacopial forum (2013).
- 10. Mineral Compositions:** Samples for mineral analysis were subjected to acid digestion and analyzed through Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS) following the procedures described by USP27–NF22 pharmacopial forum (2013). Estimation of various minerals such as copper, zinc, sodium, potassium, magnesium etc., has been carried out as per the procedure outlined in standard manual of USP pharmacopeia (2013).
- 11. Heavy Metal Analysis:** Estimation of various heavy metals such as, nickel (N), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg) has been carried out as per the procedure outlined in standard manual of USP27–NF22 Pharmacopial forum (2013). 10 gm of the collected seaweed samples (from each station) were dried at 60°C overnight. Each dried sample (1 gm on dry weight basis) was digested with a mixture of nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide followed by addition of hydrochloric acid. Organic matter in sample is digested by wet digestion or dry digestion or high pressure microwave digestion and determine the amount of heavy metals, i.e. nickel (N), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg) by using graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrophotometer (GF-AAS) and flow injection analysis system -atomic absorption spectrophotometer (FIAS-AAS). Blank correction was carried out to bring accuracy to the results.
- 12. Statistical Analysis:** All the samples were analyzed in triplicates and the values are presented as mean values of triplicate determinations ± Standard Deviation. The data were subjected to one way and two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and difference in significance of its means were calculated by Turkey's test level at  $p < 0.05$  by using SYSTAT software.

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Seasonal Variations

Seasonal variations in the proximate compositions of seaweeds on dry weight basis are shown in Table I. The protein content in *U. compressa* ranged from 43.21% in northeast monsoon season to 37.91% in summer season. ANOVA showed significant variations ( $F = 18.699$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) between seasons. While the protein content in *U. fasciata* ranged from 34.99% in spring season to 28.12% in southwest monsoon season. ANOVA showed significant variations ( $F = 27.832$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) between seasons. Generally, protein content was highest in *U. compressa* and showed significant variation when compared with *U. fasciata* ( $F = 14.272$ ;  $df = 3, 1$ ;  $P < 0.032$ ).

TABLE I SEASONAL VARIATION IN BIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION (% DRY WT.) OF *ULVA COMPRESSA* AND *ULVA FASCIATA*

Seaweed	<i>Ulva compressa</i>				<i>Ulva fasciata</i>			
	Spring	Northeast	Summer	Southwest	Spring	Northeast	Summer	Southwest
Protein	37.96	43.21	37.91	39.25	34.99	34.01	32.91	28.12
Carbohydrate	12.45	24.39	10.45	20.15	10.24	9.24	9.34	2.34
Dietary fiber	1.35	1.44	1.93	2.01	1.93	1.35	20.77	3.13
Ash content	14.65	18.93	15.30	15.65	30.68	19.04	1.35	14.23
Moisture	87.56	89.11	84.73	87.04	76.03	74.62	73.65	73.89
Lipid content	0.81	0.88	0.75	0.79	0.90	1.1	0.89	0.87

The carbohydrate content in *U. compressa* ranged from 24.39% in northeast monsoon season to 10.45% in summer season. ANOVA showed significant variations ( $F = 128.121$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ). Similarly, the carbohydrate content in *U. fasciata* ranged between 10.24% in spring season and 2.34% in southwest monsoon season. ANOVA showed significant variations ( $F = 40.210$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) between seasons. Carbohydrate content was highest in *U. compressa* and showed no significant variation with *U. fasciata* ( $F = 4.411$ ;  $df = 3, 1$ ;  $P < 0.126$ ).

The dietary fiber content in *Ulva compressa* ranged from 2.01% in southwest monsoon season to 1.35 % in spring season. ANOVA showed no significant variations ( $F = 0.341$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.797$ ) between seasons. Similarly, dietary fiber content in *U. fasciata* ranged from 20.77% in summer to 1.35% in northeast monsoon season ANOVA showed significant variations ( $F = 262.049$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ). Dietary fiber content was highest in *U. fasciata* and showed no significant variation with *U. compressa* ( $F = 1.2440$ ;  $df = 3, 1$ ;  $P < 0.345$ ).

The ash content in *U. compressa* ranged from 18.93% in northeast monsoon to 14.65% in spring season. ANOVA showed significant variations ( $F = 10.950$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.003$ ). Likewise the ash content in *U. fasciata* ranged between 30.68% in spring season and 1.35% in summer

season. ANOVA showed significant variations ( $F = 441.998$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) between seasons. The ash content was highest in *U. fasciata* and showed no significant variation with *U. compressa* ( $F = 0.000964$ ;  $df = 3, 1$ ;  $P < 0.977$ ).

The moisture content in *U. compressa* ranged from 89.11% in northeast monsoon season and 84.73% in summer season. ANOVA showed significant variations ( $F = 19.902$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ). While the moisture content in *U. fasciata* ranged between 76.03% in spring season and 73.65% in summer season. ANOVA showed no significant variations ( $F = 3.441$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.072$ ) between seasons. Moisture content was highest in *U. compressa* and showed significant variation with *U. fasciata* (ANOVA showed significant variations ( $F = 41.97573$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.007$ )).

The lipid content in *U. compressa* ranged from 0.88% in northeast monsoon season to 0.75% in summer season. ANOVA showed no significant variations ( $F = 0.888$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.488$ ). While the lipid content in *U. fasciata* ranged from 1.1% in northeast monsoon season to 0.87% in southwest monsoon season. ANOVA showed no significant variations ( $F = 3.460$ ;  $df = 3, 8$ ;  $P < 0.071$ ) between seasons. Lipid content was highest in *U. fasciata* and showed significant variation with *U. compressa* ( $F = 17.162$ ;  $df = 3, 1$ ;  $P < 0.025$ ).

TABLE II SEASONAL VARIATION OF AMINO ACID COMPOSITIONS (MG.100<sup>-1</sup> DRY WT.) ON *ULVA COMPRESSA* AND *ULVA FASCIATA*

Amino acid	<i>Ulva compressa</i>				<i>Ulva fasciata</i>			
	Spring season	Northeast monsoon	Summer season	Southwest monsoon	Spring season	Northeast monsoon	Summer season	Southwest monsoon
<b>Essential amino acids</b>								
Arginine	430.5	3086.4	1192.3	3093.2	189.5	198.3	241.3	109.3
Histidine	49.3	1598.3	219.3	998.5	398.3	414.6	439.3	215.2
Iso-Leucine	103.7	193.6	318.6	1193.6	298.3	802.5	335.6	93.5
Leucine	189.3	203.6	1110.5	3983.6	419.4	402.5	301.7	215.3
Lysine	209.6	2933.6	1339.1	873.6	281.2	925.3	449.8	119.8
Methionine	39.6	151.4	1093.5	3096.3	509.2	209.5	145.7	112.5
Phenylalanine	118.3	1093.6	3509.3	1895.7	892.6	199.2	429.3	109.4
Threonine	119.3	3183.7	2883.6	1198.6	56.5	609.4	663.7	1123.5
Tryptophan	89.36	67.8	119.3	3903.6	121.6	209.1	43.6	809.6
Valine	120.65	209.6	893.6	1193.7	211.1	112.5	90.3	109.3

Non-essential amino acids								
Alanine	10.54	191.5	108.6	3198.5	395.6	223.5	409.7	125.8
Asparagine	83.6	313.6	3093.2	1135.1	198.7	456.7	410.64	125.6
Aspartic acid	56.5	294.6	198.6	123.6	256.9	836.4	145.6	125.6
Cysteine	193.54	183.6	214.4	2931.6	325.6	593.6	298.4	309.2
Glutamic acid	122.5	1093.1	2139.6	3093.6	293.6	189	390.3	109.4
Glutamine	193.6	109.8	2099.6	3193.7	120.6	893.6	602.7	108.7
Glycine	206.7	2193.6	3193.1	2193.4	383.6	324.8	443.6	345.3
Proline	113.7	1036.9	409.6	1091.4	214.3	183.5	209.1	121.3
Serine	4.45	23.7	1198.3	2098.6	32.6	213.5	523.6	112.8
Tyrosine	129.1	3915.7	387.6	1093.3	198.8	192.9	132.7	178.9

The seasonal profiles of all essential and non-essential amino acids in the two seaweed species are presented in Table II. Levels of the different amino acids ranged from 4.45 to 3983.6 (mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) in *U. compressa* and from 32.6 to 1123.5 (mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) in *U. fasciata*. In both types of seaweed contained a high level of amino acids, especially *U. compressa* regarding essential amino acids; leucine,

tryptophan, methionine, arginine in southwest monsoon season and phenylalanine in summer season. On the other *U. fasciata* stands out as having a high level of the essential amino acids threonine, tryptophan in southwest monsoon and lysine in northeast monsoon. In common the amino acid content was higher in *U. compressa* when compared with *U. fasciata*.

TABLE III SEASONAL VARIATION IN FATTY ACID COMPOSITION OF TWO GREEN ALGAE SPECIES (% DRY WT.)

Seasons	<i>Ulva compressa</i>				<i>Ulva fasciata</i>			
	Spring season	Northeast monsoon	Summer season	Southwest monsoon	Spring season	Northeast monsoon	Summer season	Southwest monsoon
Palmitic acid (C16:0)	0.397	0.016	0.013	0.010	1.04	0.983	1.035	1.56
Margaric acid (C17:0)	0.011	0.184	0.123	0.103	2.13	0.42	0.056	0.384
Stearic acid (C18:0)	1.846	0.292	0.174	0.119	2.89	2.98	0.894	1.98
<sup>1</sup> Saturated fatty acids	2.254	0.491	0.309	0.232	6.06	4.383	1.984	1.308
Oleic acid (ω9) (C18:1)	2.016	0.194	0.204	0.206	0.36	1.36	0.494	2.44
<sup>2</sup> Monounsaturated fatty acids	2.016	0.194	0.204	0.206	0.36	1.36	0.493	2.44
Linoleic acid (ω6) (C18:2)	3.044	0.202	0.119	0.135	1.35	1.65	0.393	4.09
α Linolenic acid (ω3) (C18:3)	2.989	0.194	0.210	0.119	0.18	1.98	0.129	3.29
Morotic acid (C18:4)	0.126	0.011	0.019	0.004	0.42	0.545	0.045	1.93
<sup>3</sup> Polyunsaturated fatty acids	6.159	0.406	0.348	0.258	1.950	4.175	0.567	9.310

1. Total saturated fatty acids (SFAs) = the sum of C16:0 to C18:0

2. Total mono unsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) = the amount of C18:1

3. Total poly unsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) = the sum of C18:2 to C18:4

The fatty acid composition of the seaweeds under study is shown in Table III. In the two samples studied the most abundant essential fatty acid was Linoleic acid (ω6) (C18:2) and α Linoleic acid (ω3) (C18:3), which in *U. compressa* it was found to be 3.044% and 2.989%; *U. fasciata* accounted for 4.09% and 3.29%. Although our investigation showed that both seaweeds have higher total levels of PUFAs than MUFAs and SFAs i.e. PUFAs 6.159% in spring season in *U. compressa*, 9.310% in southwest monsoon in *U. fasciata*, and SFAs 2.254% in spring season in *U. compressa*, 6.06% in spring season in *U. fasciata*. Saturated FAs were predominant in both seaweeds during spring. This was due mainly to the presence of stearic (C18:0) and palmitic acid (C16:0). MUFAs were dominant in 2.016 in spring season

in *U. compressa* whereas, 2.44% in southwest monsoon season in *U. fasciata*.

The mineral content of two algae is represented in Table IV. The highest calcium (148mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.), zinc (18.3mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) were higher in northeast monsoon followed by magnesium (12.8mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.), copper (209.6mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) and potassium (89.3mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) in southwest monsoon season in *U. compressa* followed by spring and summer. In *U. fasciata*, calcium (184.3mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.), magnesium (50.4mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) sodium (193.6mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) and potassium (56.3mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) contents were found in summer followed by spring, northeast and southwest monsoon.

TABLE IV SEASONAL VARIATION IN MINERAL COMPOSITION (MG. 100<sup>-1</sup> DRY WT.) OF *ULVA COMPRESSA* AND *ULVA FASCIATA*

	<i>U. compressa</i>				<i>U. fasciata</i>			
	Spring season	Northeast monsoon	Summer season	Southwest monsoon	Spring season	Northeast monsoon	Summer season	Southwest monsoon
Calcium	76.9	148.9	39.56	30.35	67.7	31.6	184.3	12.5
Magnesium	12.3	0.839	10.93	12.88	12.3	8.24	50.4	1.03
Zinc	1.56	18.3	1.96	1.25	10.02	11.14	1.48	0.45
Iron	13.39	1.08	5.16	3.54	4.34	0.95	1.54	2.34
Sodium	156.7	146.7	193.6	189.5	193.6	124.6	193.6	25.66
Copper	0.24	0.419	0.21	209.6	0.43	1.29	2.11mcg	1.32
Potassium	10.6	15.6	23.7	89.3	19.2	32.5	56.3	1.55
Manganese	traces	0.035	1.33	12.5mcg	0.15	0.98	1.89	2.35

TABLE V SEASONAL VARIATION IN HEAVY METALS (PPM) OF *ULVA COMPRESSA* AND *ULVA FASCIATA*

Heavy metals	<i>U. compressa</i>				<i>U. fasciata</i>			
	Spring	Northeast	Summer	Southwest	Spring	Northeast	Summer	Southwest
Lead	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 1	<5	< 5	< 2	1.28
Cadmium	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.25
Nickel	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Mercury	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

ND- not detected

The heavy metals were shown in Table V. The heavy metals like cadmium (Cd), nickel (Ni) and mercury (Hg) were not detected in both *U. compressa* and in *U. fasciata*. While the lead content was <1ppm in southwest monsoon, while <5ppm in all other three seasons in *U. compressa*. In *U. fasciata* lead content was found to be <5ppm in spring and northeast monsoon, <2ppm in summer and 1.28 ppm in southwest.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

The report on distribution and seasonal variation of proximate, nutritional and chemical composition of marine algae from Indian coastal environment are inadequate. The present study dealt with seasonal variation of proximate composition of green algae *Ulva compressa* and *Ulva fasciata* studied for four seasons.

Maximum proximate composition in *U. compressa* are protein (43.21%) carbohydrate (24.39%), lipid (0.8%), moisture content (89.11%), dietary fiber (2.01%), ash content (18.93%), In *U. fasciata*, maximum proximate composition are protein (34.99), carbohydrate (10.24%) lipid (1.11%), moisture content (76.03%), dietary fiber (20.77), ash content (30.68%), *U. compressa* has highest protein, carbohydrate, moisture content as compared to *U. fasciata*. Burtin (2003) reported that the protein content in green and red seaweeds are generally higher (ranging from 10% to 30%) as compared to brown seaweeds (ranging from 5 h to 15%). The biochemical composition of seaweeds differs and is affected by inflow of land sources, geographic area and season of the year and temperature of water (Jenson, 1993). The variation in ash content could be related

to habitat, the habitats may have varying amounts of inorganic compounds and salts, additionally, temperature and pH could have an influence on mineralization (Mendis & Kim, 2011; Polat & Ozogul, 2009).

Seasonality in proximate composition showed protein, carbohydrate, lipid, moisture, ash contents are high in *U. compressa* in Northeast monsoon season whereas dietary fiber content is high in southwest monsoon season. Similarly, proteins, carbohydrate, moisture, ash content are high in *U. fasciata* in spring season, lipid in northeast monsoon season and dietary fiber in summer season. In general, northeast monsoon showed the highest proximate composition.

The variation in protein content in seaweeds could be attributed to differences in seasonality and growth conditions in the environment (Dawczynski *et al.*, 2007). The variation in ash content could be related to habitat, the habitats may have varying amounts of inorganic compounds and salts, additionally, temperature and pH could have an influence on mineralization (Mendis & Kim, 2011; Polat & Ozogul, 2009). Apart from the species specific difference, geographical location and local environmental condition can influence the proximate composition of seaweeds (Rohani-Ghadikolalel *et al.*, 2012).

The major essential amino acids viz. leucine, tryptophan, phenylalanine, methionine, arginine are rich in *U. compressa*. Similarly, the non-essential amino acids in tyrosine, glycine, glutamine, asparagine and glutamic acid are rich in *U. compressa*. While major essential amino acids threonine, lysine, phenylalanine, tryptophan, iso-leucine,

and methionine are rich in *U. fasciata*. The most limiting essential amino acid of both species was lysine. The highest leucine content was found to be (3983. 6mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) in *U. compressa*, while the threonine was found to be higher in *U. fasciata* (1123. 5mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.). However, the nutritional Composition of the two seaweeds varied depending on seasonal change. When compared with *U. fasciata* the amino acid content is higher in *U. compressa* in all seasons.

In *U. compressa*, the essential amino acids; leucine, tryptophan, methionine, arginine were highest in southwest monsoon and phenylalanine in summer. While non-essential amino acids asparagine, glutamic acid and glycine are higher in summer season. On the other *U. fasciata* stands out as having a high level of the essential amino acids threonine, tryptophan in southwest monsoon and lysine in northeast monsoon. Non-essential amino acids like glutamine and aspartic acid were higher in northeast monsoon.

The fatty acid compositions *U. compressa* were in the following ranges: saturated (SFA) – 2.254%, monounsaturated (MUFAs)–2.016% and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs)–6.159%, while in *U. fasciata*, saturated (SFA) – 6.06%, monounsaturated (MUFAs) – 2.44% and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) – 9.310% were higher. Li *et al.*, (2002) and Khotimchenko *et al.*, (2002) reported that Linoleic acid (18:2n-6) is the main PUFA of most chlorophytes and the  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (18:3n-3) is characteristic of the Ulvales. According to the literature, palmitic acid (16:0) is predominant in seaweeds reported by Gressler *et al.*, 2010, while it was higher 1.159% in *U. fasciata*.

In *U. compressa* the maximum FAs were produced during spring season. Saturated, monounsaturated and polyunsaturated FAs were predominant during spring season. The saturated FAs was due mainly to the presence of Stearic acid (C18:0) and palmitic acid (C16:0), which made up 1.846g/100gms, the monounsaturated FAs mainly contains Oleic acid ( $\omega$ 9) (C18:1) and polyunsaturated FAs like Linoleic acid ( $\omega$ 6) (C18:2),  $\alpha$  Linoleic acid ( $\omega$ 3) (C18:3) were rich. In *U. fasciata* polyunsaturated and monounsaturated FAs were higher in southwest monsoon; saturated FAs were higher in northeast monsoon. Fatty acids were rich in *U. fasciata* when compared with *U. compressa*.

Palmitic acid was also the major FA (85.36%) in *Gellidium micropterum* (Venkatesalu *et al.*, 2004), in *Porphyra* spp. (63.19%) (Sanchez-Machado *et al.*, 2004) and in other algal species like *Ergrezia menziesii*, *Chondracanthus canaliculatus* and *Ulva lobata* (Nelson *et al.*, 2002) as well as in *Sargassum* species (Hamdy & Dawes 1998). This can be attributed to the influence of environmental factors and/or characteristic features of the individual genera (Khotimchenko 1991).

*U. compressa* was rich in Ca, Na, and Cu content, while *U. fasciata* was rich in macro-minerals like Ca, Na, and Mg

than other minerals. Comparing the element contents of the two species, *U. fasciata* was rich in Ca, Mg and K. In common both have same sodium levels. The trace elements like manganese were found in almost all seasons trace levels-1.33mg/100g obtained in *U. compressa*, 0.15 – 2.35 in *U. fasciata*. All seaweeds contain large amounts of both macro-minerals (Ca, Mg, Na, P and K) and trace elements (Zn, I and Mn) (Matanjun *et al.*, 2009, Polat & Ozogul 2009). The elevated level of Cu in marine environment is an indicator of pollution, which is originated by household and industrial wastes.

In this seasonal study, in *U. compressa* Ca and Zn were rich in northeast monsoon Cu, K, Mg were rich in southwest monsoon and Na and Mn were rich in summer season. In *U. fasciata* Ca, Mg, K and Na were rich in summer season, Zn were rich in northeast monsoon, Fe in spring season. *U. compressa* had the highest levels of potassium 89.3 (mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) in southwest monsoon while *U. fasciata* had the highest 56.3 (mg.100<sup>-1</sup>drywt.) in summer season. Potassium content in both species of *Ulva* were lesser than 520mg/100g reported by Rohani-Ghadikolaei *et al.*, (2012) and 4340mg/100g obtained by Kumar *et al.*, (2011) in *Ulva* species. Seaweeds generally contain 8–40% of minerals, and the essential minerals and trace elements needed for human nutrition are present in seaweeds (Mabeau & Fleurence 1993). The mineral contents of seaweeds are reported to vary according to such factors as species, geographical origin, and seasons, environmental and physiological variations (Mabeau & Fleurence 1993, Kaehler & Kennish 1996).

In all season's cadmium, mercury and nickel were not detected in both the species except the cadmium was found 0.25ppm in southwest monsoon season in *U. fasciata*. Concentrations of heavy metals in macro algae showed that in both *Ulva* spp. lead was found less than 5ppm all season. The rainfall was the parameter that presented greater influence on the metals accumulation, while salinity was the most relevant factor in the environment, preventing absorption of metals in the algae.

## V. CONCLUSION

*Ulva compressa* and *Ulva fasciata* contain rich source of Protein, minerals mainly calcium and potassium, essential amino acids and fatty acids. Therefore, these algae form the best nutritional source. India with more than 8100km long coastline has abundant *Ulva* biomass. These algae can be given importance to be a part of nutritional food in our regular diet.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We gratefully acknowledge Ministry of New and Renewable Energy (MNRE) for funding support. We would like to thank to Dr. Amitava Das, Director, CSMCRI, Dr. Pradeep K Agarwal, Principal Scientist and Dr. Vaibhav A. Mantri, Senior Scientist for encouragement to carry out the work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.H. Norziah, C.Y. Ching, "Nutritional composition of edible seaweeds *Gracilaria changgi*", *Food Chemistry*, Vol. 68, No. 1, pp. 69-76, 2002.
- [2] H.W. Johnston, "The biological and economic importance of algae", *2. Algae as Food*. Tuatara, Vol. 141, 30-66, 1966.
- [3] A.C. Neish, "Culture of algae and seaweeds", *FAO Technical Conference on aquaculture, Kyoto, 1976*.
- [4] Y. Saito, "Seaweed aquaculture in the North-West Pacific", *FAO Technical Conference on aquaculture, Kyoto, 1976*.
- [5] V.J. Chapman, "Seaweeds and their uses", *Methuen and Co. Ltd., London, 1970*.
- [6] E. Percival, "The polysaccharides of green, red and brown seaweeds: their basic structure, biosynthesis and function", *Journal of British Phycology*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 103-11, 1979.
- [7] F.E. Msuya and A. Neori, "Effect of water aeration and nutrient load level on biomass yield, N uptake and protein content of the seaweed *Ulva lactuca* cultured in seawater tanks", *Journal of applied Phycology*, Vol. 20, pp. 1021-1031, 2008.
- [8] D. Robledo, and Y.F. Pelegrin, "Chemical and mineral composition of six potentially edible seaweed species of Yucatan", *Botanica Marina*, Vol. 40, pp. 301-306, 1997.
- [9] K.J. McDermid, and B. Stuercke, "Nutritional composition of edible Hawaiian seaweeds", *Journal of Applied Phycology*, Vol. 15, No.6, pp. 513-524, 2003.
- [10] P. Matanjun, S. Mohamed, N.M. Mustapha, and K. Muhammad, "Nutrient Content of Tropical Edible Seaweeds, *Eucheuma cottonii*, *Caulerpa lentillifera* and *Sargassum polycystum*", *Journal of Applied Phycology*, Vol. 21, No.1, pp. 75-80, 2009.
- [11] E.E. Hartree, "Determination of protein; A modification of the Lowry method that gives a linear photometric response", *Analytical Biochemistry*, Vol. 48, 422-427, 1972.
- [12] M. Dubois, K.A. Gilles, J.K. Hamilton, P.A. Rebor and F. Smith, "Colorimetric Method for Determination of Sugars and Related Substances", *Analytical chemistry*, Vol. 28, pp. 350-356, 1956.
- [13] AOAC, Official Method of Analysis "Total Dietary Fiber in Foods-Enzymatic-Gravimetric Method, adopted. Method becomes de facto working definition for dietary fiber", Vol. 29, 1985.
- [14] AOAC, "Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Official Methods for Analysis", 16th edition. AOAC, Washington, D.C, 1995.
- [15] AOAC, "Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International", 20th Edition, AOAC, Arlington, VA, 2006.
- [16] J. Folch, M. Lees, G.H. Solane-Stanley, "A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues", *Journal Biological Chemistry*, Vol. 226, pp. 497-509, 1956.
- [17] Staff Liaison: Lawrence Evans, "Estimation of amino acids by HPLC analysis. Senior Scientific Associate, Expert Committee: (DSN) Dietary Supplements: Non-Botanicals", *USP30-NF25 Page 1442, Pharmacopeial Forum*: Vol. 31, No. 5, pp.1345, 2013.
- [18] Staff Liaison: Lawrence Evans, and "Estimation of fatty acids by gas chromatography. Senior Scientific Associate, Expert Committee: (DSN) Dietary Supplements: Non-Botanicals", *USP 30 NF 25 Page NO 1203, Pharmacopeial Forum*, Vol. 30, No.5, pp. 1668, 2013.
- [19] Staff Liaison: Lawrence Evans, "Estimation of Minerals and vitamins. Senior Scientific Associate, Expert Committee: (DSN) Dietary Supplements: Non-Botanicals", *USP27-NF22 Page 2063, Pharmacopeial Forum*: Vol. 28, No.5, pp.1545, 2013.
- [20] Staff Liaison: Lawrence Evans, "Senior Scientific Associate Expert Committee: (DSN) Dietary Supplements: Non-Botanicals" *USP27-NF22 Page 2063 Pharmacopeial Forum*: Vol. 28, No. 5, pp. 1545, 2013.
- [21] P. Burtin, "Nutritional value of seaweeds", *Electronic journal of environmental agricultural and food chemistry*, Vol. 2, No. 4, pp. 498-503, 2003.
- [22] A. Jensen, "Present and future needs for algae and algal products". *Hydrobiology*, Vol. 260/261, pp.15-23, 1993.
- [23] E. Mendis and S.K. Kim, "Present and Future Prospects of Seaweeds in Developing Functional Foods", *Advances in food and nutrition research*, Vol. 64, pp.1-15, DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-387669-0.00001-6, 2011.
- [24] S. Polat, and Y. Ozogul, "Fatty acid, mineral and proximate composition of some seaweeds from the northeastern Mediterranean coast", *Italian Journal of Food Science*, Vol. 21, No.3, pp.317-324, 2009.
- [25] C. Dawczynski, R. Schubert, and G. Jahreis, "Amino acids, fatty acids, and dietary fiber in edible seaweed products", *Food Chemistry*, Vol. 103, No.3, pp. 891-899, 2007.
- [26] K. Rohani-Ghadikolalel, E. Abdullalian, and W.K. Ng, "Evaluation of the proximate, fatty acid and mineral composition of representative green, brown and red seaweeds from the Persian Gulf of Iran as potential food and feed resources", *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, Vol. 49, No.6, pp.774-780, 2012.
- [27] X. Li, X. Fan, L. Han, and Q. Lou, "Fatty acids of some algae from the Bohai Sea", *Phytochemistry*, Vol. 59, pp.157-161, 2002.
- [28] S.V. Khotimchenko, V.E. Vaskovsky, and T.V. Titlyanova, "Fatty acids of marine algae from the Pacific coast of North California", *Botanica Marina*, Vol. 45, pp.17-22, 2002.
- [29] V. Gressler, N. Yokoya, M. Fujii, P. Colepicolo, J. Filho, R. Torres, and E. Pinto, "Lipid, fatty acid, protein, amino acid and ash contents in four Brazilian red algae species", *Food Chemistry*, Vol. 120, pp.585-590, 2010.
- [30] V. Venkatesalu, P. Sundaramoorthy, M. Anantharaj, M. Gopalakrishnan, and M. Chandrasekaran, "Studies on the fatty acid composition of marine algae of Rameswaram coast", *Seaweed Research Utilization*, Vol. 26, No. 1-2, pp. 83-86, 2004.
- [31] D.I. Sanchez-Machado, J. Lopez-Cervantes, J. Lopez-Hernandez, and P. Paseiro-Losada, "Fatty acids, total lipid, protein and ash contents of processed edible seaweeds", *Food Chemistry*, Vol. 85, pp.439-444, 2004.
- [32] M.M. Nelson, C.F. Phleger, P.D. Nichols, "Seasonal lipid composition in macro algae of the Northeastern Pacific Ocean", *Botanica Marina*, Vol. 45, 58-65, 2002.
- [33] A.E.A. Hamdy, and C.J. Dawes, "Proximate constituents and lipid chemistry in two species of *Sargassum* from the west coast of Florida", *Botanica Marina*, Vol. 31, No.1, pp. 79-81, DOI: 10.1515/botm.1988.31.1.79, 1998.
- [34] S.V. Khotimchenko, "Fatty acid composition of seven *Sargassum* species", *Phytochemistry*, Vol. 30, No. 8, pp. 2639-2641, 1991.
- [35] M. Kumar, P. Kumari, N. Trivedi, M.K. Shukla, V. Gupta, C.R.K. Reddy, and B. Jha, "Minerals, PUFAs and antioxidant properties of some tropical seaweeds from Saurashtra coast of India", *Journal of Applied Phycology*, Vol. 23, No. 5, pp. 797-810, 2011.
- [36] S. Mabeau and J. Fleurence, "Seaweed in food products: biochemical and nutritional aspects", *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, Vol. 4, pp. 103- 107, 1993.
- [37] S. Kaehler and R. Kennish, "Summer and winter comparisons in the nutritional value of marine macro algae from Hong Kong", *Botanica Marina*, Vol. 39, No. 1-6, pp. 11-17, 1996.